

PRESENTERS



Nick Fenton, Senior Solicitor, Russell McVeagh, Wellington

Nick is a Senior Solicitor in the litigation and public law teams at Russell McVeagh in Wellington. He has experience acting for and advising clients on a wide range of commercial, public law and private client matters.



Matthew Kersey, Partner, Russell McVeagh, Auckland

Matt leads Russell McVeagh's restructuring and insolvency practice area. He specialises in general commercial litigation and insolvency, restructuring and finance litigation, and his practice includes advising banks and trustees in relation to structuring and enforcement of security, recovery, insolvency and restructuring strategies and banker/customer disputes. Matt is a member of the International Association of Restructuring, Insolvency & Bankruptcy Professionals (INSOL), and has previously been a Board member of Restructuring, Insolvency & Turnaround Association New Zealand (RITANZ).



Nathaniel Walker, Partner, Russell McVeagh, Wellington

Nathaniel's practice spans all aspects of commercial litigation and dispute resolution. He acts on complex private and public law disputes, with particular focus on corporate/commercial matters, statutory claims, insurance litigation, restructuring and insolvency, cross-border disputes, and equity and trusts. Legal 500's 2022 Guide ranks Nathaniel as a "Next Generation Partner" in Restructuring and Insolvency. He frequently writes and speaks on legal issues, particularly on directors' duties and equity and trusts.

The statements and conclusions contained in this presentation are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This presentation has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2. MAINZEAL – SUPREME COURT HEARING | 3 |
| BACKGROUND | 3 |
| SUPREME COURT HEARING | 3 |
| 3. BTI V SEQUANA – CONFIRMATION OF A COMMON LAW “CREDITOR DUTY” IN THE UNITED KINGDOM SUPREME COURT | 5 |
| STATUTORY CONTEXT..... | 5 |
| BACKGROUND | 5 |
| THE SUPREME COURT’S DECISION | 6 |
| <i>Creditor duty confirmed</i> | 6 |
| <i>“Real risk” of insolvency insufficient to trigger the creditor duty</i> | 7 |
| <i>Creditor duty as articulated by the Court involves application of a sliding scale</i> | 8 |
| <i>Reaction in the United Kingdom</i> | 9 |
| RELEVANCE OF THE SUPREME COURT’S DECISION IN THE NEW ZEALAND CONTEXT | 9 |
| <i>Recognition of creditor duty consistent with New Zealand jurisprudence</i> | 9 |
| <i>Differences in statutory frameworks</i> | 10 |
| <i>Differences in corporate cultures</i> | 11 |
| <i>Future case law/legislative reform</i> | 11 |
| 4. AUSTRALIAN TREASURY’S “REVIEW OF THE INSOLVENT TRADING SAFE HARBOUR” | 13 |
| BACKGROUND | 13 |
| REVIEW FINDINGS | 14 |
| RELEVANCE OF THE AUSTRALIAN TREASURY’S REVIEW OF THE SAFE HARBOUR PROVISIONS IN THE NEW ZEALAND CONTEXT | 15 |
| 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS | 17 |
| 6. RELEVANT LEGISLATION | 19 |
| COMPANIES ACT 1993 | 19 |
| COMPANIES ACT 2006 (UK) | 20 |
| INSOLVENCY ACT 1986 (UK) | 20 |
| 7. POWERPOINTS | 21 |